



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/190,208	11/13/1998	JIASHU CHEN	CHEN3-1	6397
7.	590 04/03/2003			
WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN			EXAMINER	
FARKAS & M 2000 M STREI SUITE 700			LAO, LUN S	
WASHINGTON, DC 200363307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2643	12
•			DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/190,208	CHEN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lun-See Lao	2643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			<u></u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) \(\subseteq \) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	,					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-14.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.			
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Note the final office action for the examiner's position. As to the argued perceived positional sound, this is met by the simulated virtual space of sound field provided at the positions/sources of FL, FR, RL and RR of Nagata. See col. 8, tines 25-57. The argued 3D audio sound system is met by the sound field provided by the combination of audio signals at FL, FR RL and RR of Nagata. As to the argument that the combination of Nagata and Matsumoto would result in an echo effect possibly not meeting the needs of a karaoke system, applicant has not provided any underlying analysis to support such argument. In Nagata, the purpose of setting various parameters of the effector is to provide a range of effects, including high and low.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000